Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
	0	9/885,375	HE, SHOUSHEN	G
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		xaminer	Art Unit	
	J	acob Meek	2637	
All Participants:	;	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jacob Meek</u> .		(3)		
(2) Steven Smith (Reg.#36,684).		(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 February 2006	•	Time:		
<u></u>	☐ Applicant's No	s representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Claim 8.				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL	. NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The ex eparate reco	caminer will provide a value of the substance of	vritten summary of the the interview, since t	e substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Ap	plicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 8 was discussed regarding concern with apparent single means nature of claim, and possilbe modification of claim to correct single means aspect of claim. Requested that claim 8 be revised to incorporate limitations of preamble into body of claim in order to eliminate single means aspect of claim 8. A revision was submitted via fax on 2/28/06 which was deemed as acceptable for correcting single means claims.

Confrimed acceptability of examiner's amendment using proposed amendment (received fax, 2/28/06) to claim 8 on 3/2/06 with Steven Smith (Reg # 36,684).